

# Micro-credential Validation Report

# Tailored Learning Design and Delivery

Version of Report	Author	Date
Draft	AQA – Michael Keane	12/11/2024
Final	AQA – Michael Keane	15/11/2024

Approval	Date		
Micro-credential Proposal approved by Faculty Board	Proposal submitted with		
	submission documentation.		
Micro-credential Proposal approved by University Programmes	mmes Proposal submitted with		
Board submission documentar			
Micro-credential approved by Faculty Board	06/02/2025		
Micro-credential noted by University Programmes Board Click or tap to enter a data			
Micro-credential satisfies the criteria for development Yes			

### **Micro-credential Details**

Title	Micro-credential in Tailored Learning Design and Delivery		
NFQ Level	9		
ECTS Credits	5		
Mode of delivery	Part-time ✓		
Mode of provision	Face-to-Face □ Blended ✓ Online □ Hyflex □		
Discipline Programmes Board	Education		
Faculty Board	Faculty of Arts & Humanities		
Schools involved in delivery	Social Sciences, Law, and Education		
Delivery location	Grangegorman		
Collaborative Partner (where	N/A		
applicable)			
Date of Commencement	Dec 2024		

## **Validation Process**

Please tick the process that was followed:

Validation Panel □	AQEC Meeting □	AQEC Sub-Group ✓
Date:	Date:	Date: 8 <sup>th</sup> Nov 2024

### **Derogations (if required)**

Derogations from Assessment Regulations/Marks and Standards already approved by University Programmes Board	
Assessment is on a Pass/Fail basis. All components must be p	passed.
A maximum of 3 attempts are permitted to pass this Micro-credential.	
Date of University Programmes Board Approval  Click or tap to enter a date.	

#### **Validation Panel Members**

Name	Role	Affiliation
Dr. Muireann O' Keeffe	Chair	Head of Teaching & Learning
		Faculty of Arts & Humanities
Dr. Catherine Spencer	Internal Assessor	Language Studies, Lead
		Faculty of Arts & Humanities
Mr. John Healy	Internal Assessor	School of Media
Dr. Susanna Nocchi	Internal Assessor	Language Studies
Dr. Theresa Ryan	Internal Assessor	School of Tourism & Hospitality
		Management
Mr. Michael Keane	Academic Quality Advisor &	Academic Affairs
	Secretary to the Panel	

#### **Micro-credential Evaluation**

Governance & Management		
Is the Micro-credential designed in accordance with the University's	Yes ✓	No □
Strategic Plan, Educational Model and Quality Framework?		

This Micro-credential aligns with the vision of TU Dublin as a provider of high-quality, professional career-focused education and the development of innovative practices that aim to maintain our institutional reputation for excellence in teaching and the creation of a distinctive student experience for our students.

However, alignment with and how the Micro-credential addresses the fundamentals of the University Education Model was not provided. See condition of validation #4.

Will the proposed strategies for Micro-Credential management and quality assurance ensure that the Micro-Credential is well managed and	Yes ✓	No 🗆
continuously enhanced and is in accordance with the University's Quality Framework?		
Quality Trainework.	L	1
Micro-credential Standards	T ,	
Are the Micro-credential aims and learning outcomes clearly written using appropriate terminology? (See TU Dublin Guidelines)	Yes ✓	No □
Are the Micro-credential aims and learning outcomes aligned to the proposed level on the NFQ?	Yes ✓	No 🗆
However, the Micro-credential learning outcomes need to be mapped to See condition #2.	the QQI Leve	el 9 indicators.
Will the curricula, teaching, learning and assessment methods enable students to reach the appropriate standard to qualify for the microcredential?	Yes ✓	No □
Was the Micro-credential development appropriately informed by internal and external stakeholder input (including industry/practice, professional/regulatory bodies, and community organisations)?	Yes ✓	No □
Has the Micro-credential been benchmarked against similar micro-credentials within TU Dublin, in alignment with the requirements of the UEM?	Yes 🗆	No 🗆
N/A		
This is a newly developed Micro-credential that has no relationship wofferings.	vith any existi	ing TU Dublin
Has the Micro-credential been benchmarked against similar Micro-Credential nationally and internationally?	Yes ✓	No □
The lifecycle development model, upon which the learning experience from the eCampusOntario Micro-credentials toolkit.	is based, has l	been adapted
Did the Micro-credential development take account of relevant external discipline benchmarks and Professional Statutory and Regulatory Body requirements? This should include industry-approved evidence of alignment to agreed institutional standards, NQF, and — where appropriate—recognised within relevant CPD Professional frameworks.	Yes ✓	No □

Is the Micro-credential design informed by current development in the discipline and associated subject areas, having taken into consideration current trends, stakeholder feedback and market analysis? Does the micro-credential validation proposal include the identification of skills need, with evidence of engagement with relevant external partners and the identification of current/anticipated skills gaps, personal, societal and or labour market needs?	Yes ✓	No □
Underpinned by the eCampusOntario Micro-credential Toolkit Life credential has been workshopped and refined by the LTA as part of an obetween the LTA, TU Dublin Enterprise Academy, and the Digital Learning	ngoing structi	
Is the Micro-credential curriculum well-structured with a logical progression of learning and development across the different components of the micro-credential?	Yes ✓	No □
Is the required Micro-credential information provided in the correct format?	Yes ✓	No □
		•
Learning, Teaching & Assessment		
Is there an effective student-centred teaching and learning strategy that aligns with the University's strategies and Education Model?	Yes ✓	No □
Is there evidence of a learner-tailored design? This should include	Yes ✓	No □
evidence of an inclusive structured design approach in flexibility of provision, modality, pace and supported personal skills development within different learner pathways.		
evidence of an inclusive structured design approach in flexibility of provision, modality, pace and supported personal skills development	e a personal	ised learning
evidence of an inclusive structured design approach in flexibility of provision, modality, pace and supported personal skills development within different learner pathways.  This Micro-credential will be offered in a flexible, blended mode, and lessignificant component of self-directed learning, designed to create	e a personal	ised learning

Does the assessment strategy provide an appropriate mix of assessment types that will enable students to demonstrate that they have met the Micro-credential learning outcomes? Is there evidence of authentic assessment, with evidence of constructively aligned challenging assessment tasks to support and evidence the development of specific personal attributes, capabilities, and professional skills?	Yes ✓	No □
Participants will be assessed by a combination of oral presentation, document, and reflective ePortfolio. Together, these assessment tasks opportunities to receive and enact authentic feedback from peers a realistic course development artefacts, and engage in independent reflections.	will provide l nd collaborat	earners with ors, produce
Do the learning outcomes and assessment strategy ensure that academic integrity can be maintained and attempted breaches of academic integrity are minimised/easily detected?	Yes ✓	No □
Is there a comprehensive mapping of assessment methods and Microcredential learning outcomes?	Yes ✓	No □
Are there opportunities in all modules to provide students with timely and constructive feedback on their learning and development?	Yes ✓	No □
Micro-credentials should enable community of learning – where possible there should be opportunities for peer learning.	Yes ✓	No □
On successful completion of this Micro-credential, participants will have knowledge and skills in areas such as instructional design, learner expassessment, technology integration, blended and online learning, a Participants will also avail of unique professional development opportunity with a network of peers, instructors, and external and internal stake feedback on their Micro-credential designs as critical friends.	perience desig and effective nities through	n, authentic pedagogies. engagement
Student Supports & Learning Environment	Vac. /	
Are there sufficient and appropriate resources (e.g. human, financial and physical) to support the proposed Micro-Credential aims and objectives, to deliver the Micro-credential as specified?	Yes ✓	No □
Are there sufficient staff that are appropriately qualified and capable to support the Micro-credential delivery, from both context and pedagogy perspectives?	Yes ✓	No 🗆
Are there appropriate arrangements in place to support the student experience and to monitor student performance?	Yes ✓	No □

	student supports and learning environment cater for equality,	Yes ✓	No	
diversit	y and inclusivity of students?			
Is the r	relevant Micro-credential information clearly communicated to	Yes ✓	No	
the stu	dents to ensure they are informed, guided and cared for?			
	Collaborative Provision (if applicable)			
Δre the	Collaborative Provision (if applicable) roles and responsibilities of each partner clearly defined?	Yes □	No	
N/A	Toles and responsibilities of Each partner clearly defined:	Yes □	No	Ц
IN/A				
Has du	e diligence on capacity of collaborative partner(s) meeting the	Yes □	No	
QA-QE	requirements for the Micro-credential being undertaken?			
N/A				
Overall	Recommendation			
1.	Recommend approval of Micro-credential as submitted, with	out amendme	nt	П
2.	Recommend approval of <i>Micro-credential</i> , subje		inor	
۷.	amendments/editorial changes to be completed as soon as			
	recommendations for consideration.	possible alla v	VICII	
	<b>Note:</b> recommendations are attached where it is considered th	at the progran	nme	
	would benefit from particular changes, or from a review of cer			
	Micro-credential over a period of time, with changes made	•		
	recommendations are advisory in nature, there is an exp	ectation that	all	
	recommendations are responded to appropriately and	acted upon	as	
	appropriate.			
3.	Recommend approval of Micro-credential subject to t	he fulfilment	of	$\boxtimes$
	conditions. Recommendations for consideration may also be	e attached.		
	<b>Note:</b> conditions are attached where it is agreed that changes			
	the Micro-credential documentation prior to the commence			
	must be set where issues are identified that relate directly to ac			
	or to University regulations or procedures. It should be clear v	what is require	d in	
	order to meet the conditions.			

A new *Micro-credential* cannot go forward to Faculty Board for consideration/approval unless a response to the Validation Report is submitted with revised documentation and the Academic Quality Enhancement Committee

is satisfied that all conditions are met.

Do not recommend approval of programme.

4.

Areas	Areas for commendation		
The pa	The panel commended the Micro-credential design team on:		
1.	This response to an identified need to offer a structured, internal opportunity for TU Dublin staff to develop discrete, flexible, inclusive, and authentic Micro-credentials.		
2.	The collaborative approach and stakeholder involvement in the design of this Microcredential.		
3.	The volume of applied learning and authenticity of assessment.		

#### **Conditions of Approval**

1. Entry Requirements

Review and revise the entry requirements to accurately reflect the necessary admission criteria.

#### Response:

The entry requirements for this microcredential have been modified to reflect the broad nature of the target learner cohort (please see p. 10 of the Validation Submission document). The current wording is as follows:

'A relevant degree OR demonstrated experience in designing and delivering learning experiences in educational or professional training settings'.

2. | Mapping of Learning Outcomes

Map the Micro-credential learning outcomes to the QQI Level 9 indicators.

#### Response:

In the existing programme validation document, there is a column titled 'Mapped against NFQ Level 9' in the section headed 'Module Learning Outcomes to NFQ Award Descriptor Fields' with abbreviations for each outcome type and an associated legend. A new section titled 'Module Learning Outcomes Mapped to QQI Level 9 Indicators' has also been added to page 6, for clarification.

3. Assessment

Clarify the grading system to be applied for assessment.

Response: The grading system to be used for this Microcredential is 'Pass/Fail -no grade'. This is now accurately reflected in the MCPF (section 6) and the 'Assessments' section of the module in Akari.

### 4. University Education Model

Clearly articulate how this programme aligns with and how it addresses the fundamentals of the University Education Model.

Response: A section on alignment with the University Education Model has been added to the Validation Submission Document (under 'Overview of Micro-Credential). Its content is repeated here:

### **Alignment with University Education Model**

Learner- Centred	The Micro-Credential in Tailored Learning Design and Delivery is, first and foremost, a learner-centred course offering intended to empower participants to take control of their own learning experience and develop their seed idea into an intentionally designed, high quality microcredential proposal with the support of a community of instructors, peers and critical friends. It is offered in a flexible, multimodal, and relatively self-directed mode designed to align with the needs and preferences of its professional learner cohort.
Learner Support	The Micro-Credential in Tailored Learning Design and Delivery has been developed with flexibility in mind, allowing participants from a broad range of professional contexts to seamlessly integrate the course with their existing roles and to tailor the module to their professional learning goals. The course has been created to align with the principles of good Learner Experience Design (LXD) and Universal Design for Learning (UDL) to ensure that it offers a learning experience that is engaging, accessible, and tailored to learners' needs. Participants will also have opportunities to engage with a network of peers, instructors, and external and internal stakeholders who will provide feedback on their microcredential designs.
Learner Choice	The fundamental principle underlying the design of this course is that it offers a structured and personalised process through which participants are facilitated to pursue their own interests and passions by taking their initial seed idea for a microcredential and refining it, through a lifecycle development process, to the point that it is coherently and rigorously designed and ready for validation. The course also incorporates a significant self-directed and reflective component, providing learners with an opportunity to make choices about how they approach their microcredential design process and their achievement of the learning outcomes.
Learner	The microcredential has been designed to offer a learning experience that

Experience	is authentic, peer-supported, and transformational in the manner in which it provides opportunities for participants to reflect on their own initial understandings and assumptions about their seed idea and iteratively refine their designs in response to peer and instructor feedback, and personal reflection.

Other matters to be brought to the attention of Faculty Board and/or University Programmes			
Board			
N/A			
Section G - Approvals			
Validation Report			
This report has been agreed by the Validation Panel and i chairperson.	s signed on their behalf by the		
Chairperson: Dr Muireann O'Keeffe			
Signed: Nurean ollefte	Date: 15/11/2024		
School Response			
The response to the conditions and recommendations has signed by the Head of School.	is been agreed by the School and is		
Head of School:			
Signed:	Date: 17/01/2025		
Faculty Board			
The report and response have been approved by Faculty	Board		
Faculty Dean			
Odle dhe Donegh	Date: 06/02/2025		
Signed:			